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There is a likeness between the creative acts of the mind in art and in science – Jacob Bronowski

Science by its very nature is interdisciplinary and scientific research relies on the collaborative skills and expertise of researchers across the disciplines. Yet, science as taught in the university classroom is usually compartmentalised into separate subjects. Most undergraduate students are not exposed to the excitement and challenge of connecting the concepts, skills and processes learnt within these distinct disciplines until they enter the laboratory as an honours student. Indeed, opportunities for problem-solving, novel application of concepts, articulation of the broader impacts and societal benefits of scientific research and understanding are not usually explicitly designed and scaffolded as an integral part of the university syllabus, but these lifelong skills are essential for scientific thinking.

Furthermore, one of the most crucial elements that nurtures scientists’ engagement and creativity is seldom considered an essential component of the curriculum – the interaction with local, national and international peer communities to share, to receive feedback and to foster new ideas and collaborations. Considered from a holistic perspective, many academics tend to focus on content, while the process of scientific inquiry is peripheral to the formal syllabus. The scholarship of teaching and learning brings the focus back to inquiry thinking and learning, by prompting academics to critically engage with the pedagogical process.

The scholarship of teaching and learning (SoTL) enables academics and their respective disciplines to consider a more integrative approach to student learning. In 1990, Ernest Boyer’s seminal report Scholarship Reconsidered: Priorities of the Professoriate called upon universities to rethink what scholarship means. Four types of academic scholarship were outlined by Boyer:

- **Scholarship of discovery**, referring to the disciplinary research academics engage in and publish.
- **Scholarship of integration**, referring to the intellectual work and writings allowing us to construct, see and understand the connectedness of all things.
- **Scholarship of application**, referring to the application of knowledge to real world problems for the betterment of worlds big and small.
- **Scholarship of teaching**, referring to the works of helping others understand and construct knowledge so they may engage in the other forms of scholarship.

The first three scholarships are easily understood and practised by science academics. As defined by Boyer, the scholarship of teaching (now extended to include learning) connects and encompasses all other forms of scholarship and facilitates their perpetuation. Academics have years of formal and informal apprenticeship and mentoring in their discipline. They possess the fundamental knowledge to conduct evidence-based research: they know how to review and query the literature; define answerable problems; design appropriate measures; collect and analyse data; and publish their findings in scholarly journals. What has been missing is the transfer or application of these same research skills from their discipline to their teaching. When science academics engage their teaching with the same toolsets and rigour that they routinely use in their disciplinary research, the results are transformative for the academic, the students learning in their classes and the curriculum. Applying the scholarship of discovery to one’s teaching should be a natural process for scientists. It is when teaching and research are siloed into separated compartments that researchers fail to apply their research scholarship skills to teaching.

In essence, the scholarship of teaching and learning catalyses the
Integrative learning develops when teaching is considered through an integrative framework. One might consider a challenging problem in teaching and look to successful models from other disciplines to understand how a process works. Take the previous challenge described above, that of the lack of engagement in authentic science inquiry communities in undergraduate courses. Such communities interact collaboratively and develop the disciplinary habits of mind that real scientists utilise. In order for students to actively become part of a community of inquiry, they need to develop inquiry thinking. What makes it challenging to teach inquiry thinking? Common responses from science academics include the following:

- Concept of learning through inquiry versus spoon-feeding.
- Engaging in the process of learning instead of someone handing them the material.
- Connecting inquiry in principles to inquiry in reality (theory versus application or real life).
- Making abstract concepts or hypothetical situations ‘real’.
- Helping students recognise that they need to ask questions at certain key points.
- Teaching students how to ask relevant, informed questions.
- Teaching students how collaborative dialogue leads to new questions and further inquiry.

Each of these points can serve as a starting point for further investigation by academics into their students’ learning. On the other hand, one could look for a broader framework by which all of these requisite attributes can be integrated into a strategy for scaffolding student learning. Such was the case when the focus on collaborative inquiry was shifted from science to examining successful models in the creative arts. The process of design thinking and making involves similar processes of critical analysis, questioning, testing, collaborative discussion and further new exploration. The merging of visual arts with critical analysis, questioning, testing, collaborative discussion of design thinking and making involves similar processes of examining successful models in the creative arts. The process of design thinking and making involves similar processes of critical analysis, questioning, testing, collaborative discussion and further new exploration. The merging of visual arts with critical analysis, questioning, testing, collaborative discussion of design thinking and making involves similar processes of examining successful models in the creative arts.

The scholarship of teaching and learning can illuminate other ways to integrate disciplines and enable us to create more successful approaches to curriculum development for the coming generation of students, who represent a diverse learning population.
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