

Nipah virus

John S Mackenzie

20A Silver Street,
Malvern, Vic 3144,
Australia
Tel +61 3 9822 6223
Mobile +61 439 875 697
and:

Australian Biosecurity CRC,
Curtin University of Technology,
GPO Box U1987,
Perth, WA 6845
Australia
Fax +61 8 9266 1650

Stephen J. Prowse

Australian Biosecurity Cooperative
Research Centre for Emerging
Infectious Disease and Curtin
University of Technology

Nipah virus emerged in 1999 in Peninsula Malaysia, where it caused a severe respiratory disease in pigs, some of which also displayed encephalitic symptoms. Humans became infected following contact with infected pigs and suffered a severe encephalitic disease. There were a total of 276 human infections in Malaysia and Singapore, with 106 deaths, a case fatality rate of 38.4%¹. The outbreak was finally contained by culling just over one million pigs at significant cost to the Malaysian economy.

Although the virus was clearly transmitted effectively between pigs, there was no recognised human-to-human transmission. The wildlife reservoir was subsequently found to be fruit bats², as had been demonstrated earlier for the closely-related Hendra virus. How the bats infected the pigs remains to be determined, but it probably occurred through pigs coming into contact with urine from infected bats, pigs eating fruit contaminated by bat saliva, or from masticated spats falling into pig pens. Nipah virus was also shown to infect cats, dogs and horses during the outbreak in Malaysia.

Nipah virus is the second member of the genus *Henipavirus* in the family Paramyxoviridae; Hendra virus being the other closely related member of the genus. Serological studies of fruit bats have found evidence of Nipah and/or Hendra-like viruses in bats sampled in Australia, Cambodia, Thailand, Indonesia, Papua New Guinea, India, Timor Leste, Madagascar and China and recently in West Africa³⁻⁵. Thus these viruses are widespread in fruit bat populations, especially in *Pteropus* sp.

In 2001, further outbreaks of Nipah virus infection were reported from Bangladesh and West Bengal, India. Subsequently, nine further outbreaks were reported, with a total of 207 cases and 152 deaths, a case fatality rate of 73.4%. Infection appears to be related to the ingestion of date palm juice, which had been contaminated by bat secretions⁶. Bats have been observed feeding on date palms at the sites where juice is being collected. In the regions where disease occurs, date palm juice is consumed without pasteurisation. Nosocomial infections were reported

from Silguri in West Bengal, but the mode of transmission remains unknown, although the virus is known to be present in respiratory secretions and urine and a number of the patients had naso-gastric tubes inserted or had been intubated⁷.

Of great concern is the strong evidence of human-to-human transmission in some of these outbreaks⁸. In one outbreak, there were up to six cycles of human-to-human transmission⁹. It is likely that this transmission occurs following close contact between infected and uninfected people. Notably, there was no need for an intermediate host (pigs).

These viruses clearly demonstrate the emergence of novel, previously unrecognised viruses from wildlife to infect other animals and humans. They also provide strong evidence for the impact of ecological changes on the emergence of these viruses. In the case of Nipah virus, deforestation affects bat habitats through loss of normal food sources. The bats then seek food from orchards and ornamental trees around human habitation and sites of animal production.

The recent outbreaks in Bangladesh and India also demonstrate how these viruses change with time, being now transmitted from human-to-human and with an increased case fatality rate of 74%, compared to the rate of 38% in Malaysia. The change in transmissibility is of particular concern, as a further mutation resulting in increased human-to-human transmission by the aerosol route could potentially lead to a severe disease outbreak.

Nipah virus reservoirs are close to Australia, being found in bats from Indonesia and Timor Leste and there is regular movement of these bats between countries³. Thus increased surveillance for these viruses in northern Australia is essential, as are joint research programs between Australian and regional scientists to better understand the movement, pathology and ecology of these viruses.

References

- Chua, K.B. et al. (2000) Nipah virus: A recently emergent deadly Paramyxovirus. *Science* 288, 1432-1435.
- Yob, J.M. et al. (2001) Nipah virus infection in bats (order Chiroptera) in peninsular Malaysia. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 7, 439-441.
- Field, H. (2009) Bats and emerging zoonoses: Henipaviruses and SARS. *Zoonoses Public Health*. May 28. [E-pub ahead of print].
- Hayman, D.T. et al. (2008) Evidence of henipavirus infection in West African fruit bats. *PLoS One* 3, e2739.
- Li, Y. et al. (2008) Antibodies to Nipah or Nipah-like viruses in bats, China. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 14, 1974-1976.
- Luby, S.P. et al. (2006) Food-borne transmission of Nipah virus, Bangladesh. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 12, 1888-1894.
- Chadha, M.S. et al. (2006). Nipah virus-associated encephalitis outbreak, Siliguri, India. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 12, 235-240.
- Gurley, E.S. et al. (2007) Person-to-person transmission of Nipah virus in a Bangladeshi community. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 13, 1031-1037.
- Luby, S.P. (2009) Personal communication.

Bios for Professor Stephen Prowse and Professor John Mackenzie appear on page 112.